

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,725	01/17/2006	Petur Gudjonsson	4395-9	1619
	7590 03/07/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	GIBSON, RANDY W		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		St
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/564,725	GUDJONSSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Randy W. Gibson	2841
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mitte, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	is action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 January 2007</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in	Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	ot received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/17/07.	6) Other: _	

Application/Control Number: 10/564,725

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Connell (US # 6,151,866). Connell disclose the claimed invention including weighing items on a first scale (Col. 3, lines 28-34), sorting them into a receptacle based on weight (Col. 5, lines 1-27), and weighing the receptacle on a second scale (Col. 4, lines 41-48). The idea of taking into account the initial tare weight of the receptacle would be inherently present, otherwise the second weighing step would be inaccurate and meaningless.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO-A-000036 (hereafter "D1") in view of U.S # 3,945,448 (hereafter D2). Document

Art Unit: 2841

D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a method for batching items into receptacles, said method comprising determining an item weight by weighing the item on a first scale, and directing the item into the selected receptacle. he subject-matter of claim 1 differs from document D1 in that comprises determining initial weights of a plurality of receptacles by weighing the receptacles on receptacles scales, based on a comparison of the weight determined by weighing an item on the first scale and the initial weights of the receptacles, selecting one of the plurality of receptacles for the item thereby forming a batch, determining a resulting weight of the selected receptacle by weighing the receptacle on a corresponding receptacle scale.

However, Document D2 discloses (see col. 1, lines 5-21; col. 3, lines 24-29; Col. 3, line 65 - col. 4, line 13; col. 4, lines 24-31; col. 5, lines 27-31) a system for minimizing the package weight variance based on a continuous comparison between the summation of the accumulation and signal representing the desired ultimate weight. It would have been obvious to modify the system of D1 to incorporate the features of D2 motivated by to determine more precisely the weight of the batches and to reduce overweight or underweight.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

Art Unit: 2841

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Randy W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841